## WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Administrative Member.

# Case No. OA 443 of 2016. DR. PRANAB KUMAR CHAUDHURI – VS- THE STATE OF W.B. ORS.

DR. PRANAB KUMAR CHAUDHURI – VS- THE STATE OF W.B. ORS.						
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date				
Date of order.	2	and dated signature				
1		of parties when necessary  3				
6	For the Applicant : Mr. M. Karim,					
10.9.2018.	Advocate.					
	For the State Respondents : Mr. S. Ghosh,					
	Advocate.					
	For the A.G. ( A & E) W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra,					
	Departmental Representative.					
	In this application, the applicant who had					
	served as a Medical Officer in the West Bengal Health					
	Service from 1 <sup>st</sup> June, 1969 to 31 <sup>st</sup> January, 2003 has					
	prayed for:-					
	"a) An order directing the respondents their					
	agents, subordinates and successors to grant interest on					
	delayed of Arrear Gratuity and Arrear Pension @ Rs. 12%					
	per annum from 1 <sup>st</sup> November, 2009 to till the actual date					
	of full payment i.e. on 23 <sup>rd</sup> February, 2015 forthwith;					
	b) An order directing the respondents, their					
	agents, subordinates and successors to produce all					
	records and proceeding so that conscionable justice may					
	be administered by granting the relief for hereinabove;					
	c) To pass such other or further orders or					
	orders as to the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and					
	proper;"					

Fο	rm	No.
		110.

DR. PRANAB KUMAR CHAUDHUF	₹I.

Vs.

Case No. OA 443 of 2016.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	It appears from records that earlier the	
	applicant had moved an application being OA 890 of 2011	
	Dr. Pranab Kumar Chaudhuri –Vs- The State of West	
	Bengal & Ors which was disposed of on 28 <sup>th</sup> September,	
	2012 . The said application as evident from the	
	judgement dated 28 <sup>th</sup> September, 2012 was for "payment	
	of interest due to delayed payment of retirement	
	benefits". Ultimately, the said application was allowed by	
	the Tribunal by directing as under :-	
	"We after careful consideration of all	
	aspects and keeping in mind the anxiety faced by the	
	pensioner, we allow payment of interest on gratuity and	
	other pensionary benefits as follows :-	
	1.Arrear of pension – rate of interest being	
	calculated at 12% instead of 18%.	
	2.Arrear of gratuity be allowed @ 12%. The	
	calculation furnished by Ld. Advocate Mr. M. Karim is	
	accepted in toto except the commutation value the old	
	rate of computation cannot be accepted.	
	To make it clear all items claimed by Mr.	
	Karim, Ld. Counsel interest payment will be allowed at the	
	rate of 12% and not 18% as demanded. But, we have not	

Fo	rm	No.
ıv		INO.

DR. PRANAB	KUIVIAR	CHAUL	HUKI.

Vs.

Case No. OA 443 of 2016.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessar
	agreed for any arrear for commutation value due to	
	computation on new rate instead of old rate. This is	
	because the fundamental financial norms and other	
	reasons behind computation theories have not been	
	made clear to us. We do not also have any guideline, any	
	existing available information and decision of court of law	
	in this subject. Therefore, we have to accept the specialist	
	opinion of the Accountant General in this case and we	
	cannot deviate from the opinion of the Accountant	
	General.	
	Petition is allowed with modification as	
	ordered.	
	Accordingly, the matter is disposed of".	
	Though the matter was disposed of, the matter	
	came up before the Tribunal on 4 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013 when	
	the following order was passed :-	
	"The record is taken up this day as per	
	mentioning. Ld. Adv. On behalf of the petitioner has	
	submitted affidavit of service. Let it be kept on record. No	
	one appears on behalf of the State Respondents. Ld. Adv.	
	On behalf of the petitioner has pointed out that the order	
	in respect the present application was passed on	

F	റ	r	n	า	N	0	
	v				1 4	•	•

DR.	PR	AN	AB	KUI	MAR	CH	AUI	JHC	JRI.

Vs.

Case No. OA 443 of 2016.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessare 3
	28.09.2012. But, no time is framed by the Tribunal in	
	respect of completion of the matter as stated in the said	
	order. After going through the submission of the Ld. Adv.	
	on behalf of the applicant as well as Mr. B. Mitra,	
	departmental representative of the office of the A.G.W.B.	
	we fix the time of six (06) months for completion of the	
	matter as per order dated 28.09.2012".	
	Being aggrieved with the said order, the State	
	preferred a Writ petition before the High Court, being	
	WPST No. 217 of 2013, which was dismissed on 2 <sup>nd</sup> July,	
	2013 by passing an order, the relevant portion of which is	
	as under :-	
	"The writ petition has been filed on	
	behalf of the State authorities challenging the judgement	
	and order dated 28 <sup>th</sup> September, 2012 passed by the West	
	Bengal Administrative Tribunal in O.A. 890 of 2011 and	
	also the subsequent order dated 4 <sup>th</sup> January, 2013 passed	
	in connection with the aforesaid case by the learned	
	Tribunal.	
	The learned Tribunal upon considering the	
	submissions of the learned counsel of the respective	
	parties and going through the records and also	

F٥	rm	No.
ıv		INO.

DR. PRANAB	KUMAR	CHAU	DHURI

Vs.

Case No. OA 443 of 2016.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	considering the specialist opinion expressed on behalf of	
	the Accountant General, West Bengal passed an order	
	allowing payment of interests on gratuity and other	
	pensionary benefits of the respondent. Furthermore, the	
	learned Tribunal by the subsequent order dated 4 <sup>th</sup>	
	January, 2013 specified six months time for making	
	necessary payment in terms of the order dated 28 <sup>th</sup>	
	September, 2012.	
	In our opinion, the State authorities have	
	been granted sufficient time for making payment in terms	
	of the aforesaid order passed by the learned Tribunal.	
	For the aforementioned reasons, we do not	
	find any scope to interfere with the impugned orders	
	passed by the learned Tribunal as we do not find any	
	error and/or infirmity in the aforesaid orders".	
	It is submitted by Mr. Karim, learned advocate	
	for the applicant that the prayers in the earlier	
	application that is OA 890 of 2011 and the prayers in the	
	instant writ petition are different since interest of arrear	
	Gratuity and arrear pension from 1 <sup>st</sup> November, 2009 till	
	the actual date of full payment have not been granted.	
	In our view, the matter is hit by principles of	

Form	No.

DR. PRANAB KUMAR CHAUDHURI
----------------------------

• •	•	•		 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	• •	 •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	

Vs.

Case No. **OA 443 of 2016.** 

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature  2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	resjudiceta as it is evident from the order dated 28 <sup>th</sup>	
	September, 2012 passed in OA 890 of 2011 that the	
	subject matter of the said application was payment of	
	interest due to delayed payment of retirement benefits	
	which encompasses entire issue of payment of	
	superannuation benefit. The State being aggrieved by the	
	said order preferred a writ petition which was dismissed	
	on 2 <sup>nd</sup> July, 2013. It is to be mentioned that the period in	
	this petition includes a period when the same issue was	
	pending before the Tribunal as well as before the High	
	Court. If aggrieved the applicant should have brought it	
	to the notice of the Court and Tribunal. It cannot be	
	reopened at this stage. Hence the application is	
	dismissed.	
	Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if	
	applied for, be furnished on priority basis.	
	(Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal) Member(A). Chairman.	
Skg.		

	ORDER SHEET		
Form No.		DR. PRANAB KU	MAR CHAUDHURI.
TOTTI NO.		Vs.	
Case No. <b>OA 443 of 20</b>	<b>016.</b>	THE STATE OF	W.B. & ORS.
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signa	ature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3